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#### Abstract

Carbon dioxide is quantitatively deoxygenated to carbon monoxide by $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{Cp}=\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]$ which is converted to $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}$, while $\mathrm{Cp} 2 \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ promotes the disproportionation of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to CO and carbonato. This reaction gives rise to a tetranuclear carbonato complex of titanium(III), $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)\right]_{2}$, in which the $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ ligand, engaged in a novel bonding mode, bridges three $\mathrm{Cp}_{2}$ Ti units. The $\nu$ co stretching frequencies for the coordinated $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ fall at 1475 m -s and $1425 \mathrm{v}-\mathrm{s}$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, and, as confirmed by the isotopic labeling, the two bands in $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\right)\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{2}$ are at 1432 and $1385 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Carbon dioxide converts $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ to the cyclic trimer $\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrO}\right)_{3}$, which is unprecedented both in cyclopentadienyl and zirconium chemistry. The X-ray structural analysis showed that $\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrO}\right)_{3}$ contains a six-membered, nearly planar ring, formally derived from the trimerization of the zirconyl unit $\mathrm{Zr}=\mathrm{O}$. Noteworthy are both the $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distances, which are not significantly different [average value of 1.959 (3) $\AA$ ], and the $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Zr}$ bond angles averaging to 142.5 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$. These values indicate the presence of a multiple $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O}$ bond. Crystallographic details for $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)\right]_{2}$ : space group Cmca (orthorhombic), a $=11.282$ (1) $\AA, b=16.038$ (1) $\AA, c=19.729$ (2) $\AA$, and $Z=4$. The final $R$ factor is $5.8 \%$ for 1508 observed reflections. Crystallographic details for $\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrO}\right){ }_{3} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ : space group $P 2_{1} / c$ (monoclinic), $a=10.230$ (1) $\AA . b=18.612$ (2) $\AA, c=17.406$ (2) $\AA, \beta=95.27$ (1) ${ }^{\circ}$, and $Z=4$. The final $R$ factor is $4.3 \%$ for 4153 observed reflections.


## Introduction

Recently much interest was focused on "model" complexes which could realize fixation and/or elementary transformations of carbon dioxide. ${ }^{2,3}$ At present, however, while there are several reports on the insertion reactions of $\mathrm{CO}_{2},{ }^{2-4}$ little is known concerning its fixation, or other metal-promoted transformations, such as disproportionation, dimerization, and
deoxygenation. This mainly results from lack of strategy to find complexes able to realize, at the least, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ coordination. In several cases, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ seems to require a bifunctional system, i.e., acid-base, for its fixation and activation, ${ }^{5}$ while inorganic chemists normally employ monofunctional complexes in molecular activation processes. The highly basic $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{I}) .{ }^{6.7} \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I}) .{ }^{8}$ and $\mathrm{Ni}(0)^{9}$ complexes, which so far have been found to be

Table I. Summary of Crystal Data and Intensity Collection

|  | $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)\right]_{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrO}\right){ }_{3} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a, \AA$ | 11.282 (1) | 10.230 (1) |
| $b$, $\AA$ | 16.038 (1) | 18.612 (2) |
| c, $\AA$ | 19.729 (2) | 17.406 (2) |
| $\alpha$, deg | 90.0 | 90.0 |
| $\beta$, deg | 90.0 | 95.27 (1) |
| $\gamma$, deg | 90.0 | 90.0 |
| Z | 4 | 4 |
| M | 832.4 | 804.4 |
| calcd density. $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.548 | 1.618 |
| space group | Cmca | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| radiation | nickel-filtered $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54178)$ | niobium-filtered $\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ ( $\lambda=0.710$ 69) |
| $\mu, \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 7.81 | 0.94 |
| scan type | $\theta-2 \theta$ | $\theta-2 \theta$ |
| scan range | $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ from peak center |  |
| scan speeds | $2.5-10 \mathrm{deg} \theta / \mathrm{min}$ |  |
| backgrounds | stationary crystal at $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ |  |
| $2 \theta$ limits, deg | 6-140 | 6-58 |
| criterion for observation | $I>2 \sigma(I)$ | $I>2 \sigma(I)$ |
| unique obsd data | 1508 | 4153 |
| unique total data | 1780 | 8779 |
| crystal dimensions | $0.26 \times 0.16 \times 0.26 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $0.19 \times 0.21 \times 0.29 \mathrm{~mm}$ |

active in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ activation, are monofunctional systems. They realize both the fixation ${ }^{9}$ and the dimerization of $\mathrm{CO}_{2},{ }^{6}$ even if only one structural report shows the presence of the intact metal-bonded $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{9}$ Rather recently, we discovered a new class of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reversible carriers, which contain $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in its intact form. ${ }^{10}$ They closely approach the requirement of a bifunctional system, since they contain both acid and basic centers. ${ }^{11}$

Herein we report the reactions of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}\right]_{2}\left(\mathrm{Cp}=\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Zr}\right)$, the reactivity of which can be described in terms of their carbene and free-radical-like nature. These reactions give rise to the deoxygenation and disproportionation of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, and produce an unprecedented tetranuclear carbonato complex of titanium(III) and a cyclic trimer of the bis(cyclopentadienyl)oxozirconium(IV).

## Experimental Section

Materials. $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}\right]_{2},{ }^{12} \mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CO})_{2},{ }^{13}$ and $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}{ }^{14}$ were prepared by published procedures. Carbon dioxide was UPP oxy-gen-free gas. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was prepared by reaction of $\mathrm{Ba}^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(90 \%$ isotope purity) with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.

Physical and Analytical Measurements. Elemental analyses were performed by the microanalytical laboratory at the University of Pisa. Infrared spectra were obtained from Nujol and PTFE (polytrichlorofluoroethylene) mulls between KBr plates using a Perkin-Elmer 282 spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were obtained from a Varian EM 360 spectrometer. $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was used as the NMR solvent with $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ as the reference.

General Procedures. Owing to the air sensitivity of the complexes all preparations were carried out under a dry and oxygen-free nitrogen or carbon dioxide atmosphere, using standard Schlenk techniques. Toluene was dried and distilled over Na under a nitrogen atmosphere prior to use.
Reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathbf{T i C l}\right]_{2}$ with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. A toluene solution of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}\right.$ ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was charged in a $125-\mathrm{mL}$ rocking autoclave with 1 atm of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, then pressurized with 10 atm of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The mixture was heated for 18 h at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with shaking. The gas chromatographic analysis of the gases gave 2.5 mmol of CO (based on the $\mathrm{N}_{2} / \mathrm{CO}$ ratio). For the most part, $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (11) was obtained from the autoclave, while a further amount was recovered from the toluene evaporated to 10 mL (ca $80 \%$ ). The yield of 11 and CO ranges, under these conditions, for five different runs, from 60 to $90 \%$.

Reaction of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. A $500-\mathrm{mL}$ flask containing a toluene ( 50 mL ) solution of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 4.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ was filled with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ until saturation at room temperature. Then, when closed, the solution, heated at $52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 days, gave a crystalline,
light-green solid (yield ca. $85 \%$ ). It can be recrystallized from boiling toluene, in which it gives a deep-blue solution. It is very sensitive to air. Anal. Calcd for $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)\right]_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Ti}_{4}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 60.60 ; \mathrm{H}$, 4.84; Ti, 23.02. Found: C, $60.18 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.17 ; \mathrm{Ti}, 23.0 ; \mu_{\text {clf }}=1.70 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ per titanium at 293 K . V displays two bands (PTFE) at 1475 m -s and 1425 $v-\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ due to $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$, as confirmed by isotope labeling. Decomposed with dry HCl in toluene, V gave $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and a green suspension, from which, upon treatment with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}$ was recovered in quantitative yield.

Reaction of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right.$ with ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. A $100-\mathrm{mL}$ flask containing a toluene ( 20 mL ) solution of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ was filled with ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (ca. 2.2 mmol ) (from $\mathrm{Ba}^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{3} .90 \%$ isotopic purity) and heated at $64^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days. The gases at the end of the reaction were absorbed into an isooctane solution ( 20 mL ) of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~V}(0.18 \mathrm{~g})$, which was readily transformed into the corresponding $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{VCO}{ }^{15}$ The $\mathrm{CO} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ molar ratio deduced from the IR bands (isooctane solution) at $\nu_{12} \mathrm{CO} 1895, \nu_{13} \mathrm{co} 1853 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is nearly $4 . \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~V}$ reacts with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, but only under rather drastic conditions. From the toluene solution, $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{2}\right)_{2}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)\right]_{2}$ was recovered (ca. $50 \%$ ) as a green, crystalline solid. With ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$, the two bands found in V are at $1432 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{s}$ and $1385 \mathrm{v}-\mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{brcm}{ }^{-1}$. IR data on V and $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{2}\right)_{2}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)\right]_{2}$ are from PTFE mulls.
Reaction of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)_{2}$ with $\mathrm{CO}_{2} . \mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 2.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in toluene ( 50 mL ) and the solution saturated with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at room temperature. Heating for 4 days at $74^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave a very small amount of a light-yellow powder which was filtered out, keeping the solution hot. On cooling, a white, crystalline solid was obtained which was recrystallized from toluene (ca. $50 \%$ ). On drying, the solid loses crystallization solvent. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrO}, \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{OZr}$ : C , 50.59; H, 4.25. Found: C, 50.20; H, 4.21. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ displays a single sharp peak at $\tau 3.75$.
X-rayData Collection and Structure Refinement for [( $\left.\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\right)_{2-}$ $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{2}$. The crystal examined was wedged into thin-walled glass capillaries and sealed under nitrogen. Preliminary X-ray examination (from rotation and Weissenberg photographs) showed the crystal to be mounted along the [T01] axis of an orthorhombic unit cell. A summary of the crystal data and intensity data collection is given in Table I. Lattice constants came from a least-squares refinement of the $2 \theta$ values for 36 reflections having $2 \theta>94^{\circ}$.
Data were collected at room temperature using a single crystal Siemens AED automated diffractometer. The pulse height discriminator was set to accept $90 \%$ of the $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ peak. The intensity of a standard reflection was monitored every 20 reflections as a check on crystal and instrument stability. No significant change in the measured intensity of this reflection was observed during data collection. For intensity and background, the "five-point technique" 16 was used.

A total of 3286 nonunique data (two octants, $6^{\circ}<2 \theta<140^{\circ}$ ) were measured, which reduce to 1780 unique reflections after a sort and merge procedure. ${ }^{17}$ The intensities were reduced to a set of relative

Table II. Positional Parameters ( $\times 10^{4}$ for Nonhydrogen and $\times 10^{3}$ for Hydrogen Atoms) and Their Estimated Standard Deviations for $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right\}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]_{2}$

| atom ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ | atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ti(1) | 0 | 1647 (1) | 2166 (1) | C(15) | 2345 (10) | -1399 (5) | -51 (4) |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(2)$ | 1594 (1) | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1469 (10) | -1466 (6) | 328 (6) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 962 (2) | 1019 (2) | 1391 (1) | C(11*) | 1871 (19) | -862 (12) | 974 (8) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 0 | 390 (2) | 541 (2) | C(12*) | 2796 (20) | -563 (10) | 867 (10) |
| C(17) | 0 | 809 (3) | 1106 (3) | C(13*) | 3269 (15) | -832 (13) | 365 (13) |
| C(1) | 0 | 2754 (5) | 1383 (4) | C(14*) | 2852 (21) | -1253 (13) | 0 (10) |
| C(2) | 977 (6) | 2853 (3) | 1780 (4) | C(15*) | 1882 (19) | -1469 (9) | 157 (10) |
| C(3) | 619 (7) | 3020 (3) | 2424 (4) | C(16*) | 1516 (15) | -1298(13) | 558 (14) |
| C(4) | 0 | 1532 (5) | 3356 (3) | H(1) | 0 | 267 (5) | 90 (4) |
| C(5) | 1009 (6) | 1106 (4) | 3121 (3) | H(2) | 176 (5) | 281 (3) | 159 (3) |
| C(6) | 612 (6) | 423 (3) | 2767 (3) | H(3) | 125 (5) | 304 (3) | 275 (3) |
| C(11) | 1462 (8) | -1076 (6) | 847 (5) | H(4) | 0 | 198 (5) | 359 (4) |
| $C(12)$ | 2355 (10) | -626 (5) | 1016 (4) | H(5) | 190 (5) | 116 (4) | 325 (3) |
| C(13) | 3227 (9) | -574 (6) | 636 (6) | H(6) | 116 (5) | -4(3) | 259 (3) |
| C(14) | 3261 (9) | -958(7) | 83 (6) |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ The occupancy factor for the carbon atoms from $\mathrm{C}(11)$ to $\mathrm{C}(16)$ is 0.667 ; for the corresponding asterisked atom it is 0.167 .
$\left|F_{0}\right|^{2}$ values for use in structure solution and refinement. No corrections were made for absorption. During data collection the observed systematic absences uniquely determined the space group to be Cmca (no. 64).

Initial coordinates for the two independent titanium atoms were found from a vector analysis of a three-dimensional Patterson map. A subsequent Fourier synthesis revealed all the nonhydrogen atoms excepting those of cyclopentadienyls around $\mathrm{Ti}(2)$. Some difficulties were encountered in the structure determination since these two rings, related by a twofold axis, were disordered in such a way that each of them resulted, in a difference Fourier map, in 12 peaks. Six of these had electron density four times the others, and formed a six-membered ring (mean distance between the peaks $1.20 \AA$ ) coplanar and twisted by about $30^{\circ}$ with respect to that formed by the other six peaks. The disorder was solved by considering the cyclopentadienyl ring to be statistically distributed over six positions. Each of these could be obtained by joining together four heavier adjacent peaks with one lighter as shown in Figure 2, where the lighter peaks are marked by an asterisk. Refinement was carried out by full-matrix least-squares considering all these peaks as carbon atoms having site occupancies of $4 / 6$ and $1 / 6$ for the heavier and lighter peaks, respectively.

The structure was refined to convergence ${ }^{18}$ using anisotropic thermal parameters for all atoms excepting those statistically distributed. The hydrogen atoms of the undisordered cyclopentadienyl rings were located from a difference Fourier map and isotropically refined in the last but one cycle of refinement. ${ }^{19}$ The final discrepancy index was $R=0.058$ for 1508 independent observed data. During the final least-squares refinement cycle, no parameter shifted by more than $0.3 \sigma$. The height of the most important peaks in the final difference Fourier is about $0.5 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$. The effects of the a nomalous dispersion were included in all structure factor calculations. No evidence for secondary extinction was found.

The function minimized during least-squares refinement was $\Sigma w|\Delta F|^{2}$. A value of 0.003 for $g$ was used in the calculations of the weights, $w\left(w^{-1}=\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}\right)+|g| F_{0}^{2}\right)$.

Final atomic coordinates are given in Table II. Thermal parameters are reported in Table VI. ${ }^{20}$

X-ray Data Collection and Structure Refinement for $\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrO}\right)_{3}$. $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$. Preliminary examination of the crystals revealed a monoclinic unit cell. A summary of the crystal data and intensity data collection is given in Table l. The unit cell parameters were obtained from a least-squares refinement of the $2 \theta$ values of 33 reflections $\left(2 \theta>37^{\circ}\right)$. X-ray intensity data were collected by the $\theta-2 \theta$ scan method using a crystal mounted in a thin-walled glass capillary under nitrogen. Data collection and data reduction techniques have been described above. ${ }^{17}$

The structure was solved by the usual heavy-atom method. From the three-dimensional Patterson synthesis, approximate coordinates were obtained for the three independent zirconium atoms in general positions. Two successive Fourier syntheses established the coordinates of the remaining nonhydrogen atoms. A difference Fourier map, calculated after isotropic refinement, revealed electron density concentrations appropriately located for the cyclopentadienyl hydrogen
atoms, and a disordered distribution of the toluene solvent molecule. The hydrogen atoms were included in the refinement ${ }^{19}$ as fixed contributors with isotropic thermal parameters which were $20 \%$ greater than those of the carbon atoms to which they are bonded. Toluene was considered statistically distributed over two positions, isotropically refined with site occupation factors of 0.5 and rigid body constraint $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ph}}=1.395, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ph}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Me}}=1.520 \AA, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=120.0^{\circ}\right.$ ). All the other nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically to convergence in two "blocked" (two blocks) full-matrix least-squares cycles to $R$ $=4.3 \%$ for 4153 observed reflections. ${ }^{18}$ The height of the most important peaks in the final difference Fourier is about $0.4 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$. The effects of anomalous dispersion were included in all structure factor calculations. No evidence for secondary extinction was found. During the final stage of refinement, no parameter shifted by more than $0.3 \sigma$.

The function minimized was $\Sigma w|\Delta F|^{2}$ with unit weights. Final atomic coordinates are given in Table 111. Thermal parameters are reported in Table V1. ${ }^{20}$

## Results and Discussion

Carbon dioxide is deoxygenated to carbon monoxide by a toluene solution of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}\right]_{2}^{12}$ (eq l).

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{CO}_{2}+\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}\right]_{2} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CO}\right.  \tag{1}\\
\mathrm{I} \quad \mathrm{II} \\
\left(\mathrm{Cp}=\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Reaction 1 occurs readily at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 10 atm of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. In this context, it would be interesting to recall that the reaction of various titanium(III) derivatives with oxygen-containing substrates, such as nitrogen oxides, ${ }^{21}$ nitro and nitroso derivatives, ${ }^{22}$ and ketones, occurs with deoxygenation of the substrates and conversion of the inorganic part in the corresponding oxo derivatives. ${ }^{23}$ These reactions probably find their driving force both in the very high metal-oxygen bonding energy and in the tendency by the metal to achieve the oxidation number +4 . Suggestions on the probable stepwise $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ deoxygenation could be deduced from the reaction of another titanium(III) derivative, $\left[\mathrm{CpTiCl}_{2}\right]$, with ketones (eq 2). It was

assumed that the free-radical-like titanium(III), when attacking ketones, produces a free-radical center on the carbon

Table III. Positional Parameters $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Their Estimated Standard Deviations for $\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrO}\right)_{3} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$

| atom ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $2 / c$ | atom | $x / a$ | $3 / b$ | $z / c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ | 1441 (1) | -302 (0) | 2589 (0) | C(35) | 5100 (11) | 4206 (8) | 4361 (8) |
| Zr(2) | 1754 (1) | 1078 (0) | 4131 (0) | C(36) | 6333 (11) | 4103 (8) | 4758 (8) |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(3)$ | 3762 (1) | 1216 (0) | 2465 (0) | C(37) | 8075 (16) | 3240 (14) | 5395 (12) |
| C(1) | -148 (12) | -713 (6) | 1449 (7) | C(311) | 5174 (14) | 3603 (13) | 4336 (11) |
| C(2) | -861 (11) | -716(8) | 2074 (8) | C(321) | 5931 (14) | 4206 (13) | 4551 (11) |
| C(3) | -992 (10) | -26(10) | 2303 (7) | C(331) | 7178 (14) | 4123 (13) | 4937 (11) |
| C(4) | -379 (12) | 411 (6) | 1834 (8) | C(341) | 7669 (14) | 3436 (13) | 5109 (11) |
| C(5) | 148 (10) | -17(7) | 1297 (6) | C(351) | 6912 (14) | 2833 (13) | 4894 (11) |
| C(6) | 2128 (18) | -1590 (6) | 2325 (8) | C(361) | 5665 (14) | 2916 (13) | 4508 (11) |
| C(7) | 3259 (12) | -1231 (6) | 2526 (8) | C(371) | 3769 (15) | 3725 (14) | 3998 (14) |
| C(8) | 3278 (10) | -1052 (5) | 3285 (7) | H(1) | 128 | -1186 | 1120 |
| C(9) | 2161 (13) | -1308 (5) | 3541 (6) | H(2) | -1123 | -1165 | 2333 |
| C(10) | 1454 (12) | -1637(6) | 2948 (10) | H(3) | -1321 | 132 | 2733 |
| C(11) | -655 (9) | 1448 (6) | 3965 (9) | H(4) | -190 | 957 | 1748 |
| C(12) | -98 (11) | 1825 (6) | 3420 (6) | H(5) | 837 | 249 | 984 |
| C(13) | 756 (10) | 2311 (5) | 3772 (8) | H(6) | 1631 | -1834 | 1777 |
| C(14) | 720 (12) | 2237 (7) | 4546 (8) | H(7) | 4000 | -1109 | 2138 |
| C(15) | -185 (13) | 1700 (8) | 4661 (7) | H(8) | 4040 | -757 | 3624 |
| C(16) | 1863 (12) | 515 (10) | 5470 (7) | H(9) | 1886 | -1262 | 4143 |
| C(17) | 2443 (16) | 1135 (8) | 5584 (6) | H(10) | 465 | -1878 | 2969 |
| C(18) | 3527 (16) | 1149 (9) | 5235 (9) | H(11) | -1223 | 1034 | 3774 |
| C(19) | 3633 (14) | 499 (13) | 4888 (6) | H(12) | -123 | 1768 | 2764 |
| C(20) | 2610 (20) | 111 (6) | 5058 (8) | H(13) | 1438 | 2650 | 3387 |
| C(21) | 1998 (11) | 2040 (9) | 1806 (9) | H(14) | 1180 | 2571 | 4979 |
| C(22) | 2490 (16) | 1668 (6) | 1225 (7) | H(15) | -486 | 1500 | 5190 |
| C(23) | 3764 (13) | 1886 (7) | 1189 (6) | H(16) | 986 | 385 | 5696 |
| C(24) | 3996 (13) | 2397 (7) | 1745 (8) | H(17) | 2095 | 1603 | 5914 |
| C(25) | 2922 (19) | 2485 (7) | 2107 (7) | H(18) | 4038 | 1634 | 5244 |
| C(26) | 6207 (11) | 1491 (7) | 2658 (14) | H(19) | 4353 | 246 | 4531 |
| C(27) | 5493 (9) | 1165 (7) | 3300 (7) | H(20) | 2300 | -458 | 4911 |
| C(28) | 5637 (8) | 475 (6) | 3149 (6) | H(21) | 993 | 1969 | 1971 |
| C(29) | 5707 (10) | 383 (7) | 2381 (8) | H(22) | 2327 | 1239 | 968 |
| C(30) | 6054 (12) | 1008 (13) | 2080 (8) | H(23) | 4467 | 1687 | 789 |
| O(1) | 1158 (4) | 230 (3) | 3532 (3) | H(24) | 4920 | 2700 | 1875 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 2753 (4) | 345 (3) | 2212 (3) | H(25) | 2800 | 2860 | 2597 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 3042 (4) | 1423 (2) | 3444 (3) | H(26) | 6425 | 1961 | 2748 |
| C(31) | 6811 (11) | 3409 (8) | 4897 (8) | H(27) | 6089 | 1269 | 3821 |
| C(32) | 6056 (11) | 2817 (8) | 4639 (8) | H(28) | 5217 | 40 | 3481 |
| C(33) | 4823 (11) | 2920 (8) | 4242 (8) | H(29) | 5491 | -148 | 2115 |
| C(34) | 4345 (11) | 3614 (8) | 4103 (8) | H(30) | 6117 | 984 | 1433 |

${ }^{a}$ The atoms from $C(31)$ to $C(371)$ have occupancy factors of 0.5 .
which dimerizes to a "pinacol" derivative, III. ${ }^{24}$ Reaction 1 is expected to have a rather similar pathway (eq 3 ). where B could dimerize to an oxalato derivative or undergo the attack

by a new free radical titanium(III), so producing the dimer C . Formation of CO and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in reaction 1 is likely expected to pass through an intermediate like C. It is rather difficult to infer how this kind of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ transformation depends on the electronic configuration of the metal. It would be interesting, in any case, to compare these results with those obtained employing carbene-like titanium(II) and zirconium(II) derivatives, $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Zr})$. These complexes should be considered authentic sources of the carbene, " $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{M}$ ", unit. ${ }^{25}$

The results occurring between $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ are given in eq 4. The transformation undergone by $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ during this reaction (see Experimental Section) was followed by the use of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ producing ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$, as represented by the equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
4 \mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}+4 \mathrm{CO}_{2} \rightarrow\left[\left(\mathrm{C} p_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)\right]_{2}+10 \mathrm{CO}\right.  \tag{4}\\
\mathrm{IV} \\
\mathrm{~V} \\
4 \mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}+4^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \rightarrow\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\right)_{2}\left({ }^{(33} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)\right]_{2}  \tag{5}\\
+8 \mathrm{CO}+2^{13} \mathrm{CO}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus the titanium(II)-promoted transformation of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ corresponds to its disproportionation: $:^{26-28}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{3^{2-}}+\mathrm{CO} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

While chemical evidence suggests the presence of the carbonato ligand in V , and the magnetic moment agrees with the presence of titanium(III) ( $1.70 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ per titanium at 293 K ). the molecular complexity along with the bonding mode of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{--}$ is deduced from an X-ray analysis carried out on $V$ (vide infra). Both magnetic and IR data do not correspond to those reported for the supposed carbonato dimer $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)\right],{ }^{29}$ which displays a magnetic moment lower than $1 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ per titanium at room temperature and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ stretchings occurring at higher frequencies [ $1570 \mathrm{sh}, 1530 \mathrm{~s}$, and $1355 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ] while $V$ shows bands with a rather complex envelope at $1475 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{s}$ and 1425 $\mathrm{s} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-1}$, which are shifted at $1432 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{s}$ and $1385 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ by
isotope labeling in $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\right)_{2}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)\right]_{2}$ (see Figure 1). These vibrational spectra data differ significantly from those reported for a di- $\mu_{2}$-carbonato complex of molybdenum, $\mathrm{Mo}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{2^{-}}$ $(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{6},{ }^{26}$ in which the carbonato absorbs at 1835 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, while they compare very well with those reported for $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{5}{ }^{30}$ Very recently, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was used as carbonylating agent in the synthesis of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$, starting from $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}$ and zinc dust. This reaction affords, moreover, carbonato complexes of titanium(III), such as $\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{2}\right)_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right) \cdot 2 \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{THF}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)\right]_{2} \mathrm{Zn}$, the nature of which, however, is not yet defined. ${ }^{31}$

The genesis of $V$ could be rather interesting, in view of the metal-induced transformations of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. While it was found that $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reacting with transition metal complexes often produces metal carbonates, $6.9 .26-28.30$ the metal-promoted disproportionation, as depicted in reaction 6 , was never definitely proved before. ${ }^{30}$ Hypotheses concerning its pathway are rather scanty, the most attractive between them being proposed by Herskovitz, who isolated a dimer of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ coordinated on Ir metal. ${ }^{6}$ A sketch of the structure of this $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ dimer complexed on the metal is shown in i . It was variously suggested that the di-

i
merization was the key step of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ disproportionation. This hypothesis finds further support in our case from the results of the reactions occurring between $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$-equivalent molecules, $\mathrm{PhN}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}^{32}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{C}=$ $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} .{ }^{33}$ The reaction of $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ is a stepwise process producing an $\eta^{2} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}$ metal anchored diphenylketene which adds a second molecule of $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The two diphenylketene molecules give a metallocycle which is highly reminiscent of the dimer of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \cdot{ }^{33}$ Thus $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ seems

monomeric unit of the dimer $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{2}$

to simulate the steps through which it can be assumed to pass the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ titanium-promoted disproportionation.

While $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ allowed the isolation of structural models for the stepwise $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ addition to the metal, PhNCO undergoes. like $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, a titanium-promoted disproportionation to give CO and diphenylureylene ligand (eq 8). Indeed the reaction be-
$2 \mathrm{PhNCO}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}+[\stackrel{\mathrm{O}}{\|} \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ph}]^{2-}$
tween $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ and PhNCO produces carbon monoxide and diphenylureylene complexes of titanium. ${ }^{32}$
As the metal-promoted $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ transformations depend not only on the electronic properties, but also on the nature of the metal, it should be suggested by the comparison between the results of reaction 4 and those obtained by reacting $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Dicarbonyl VI, when treated for 4 days at $74{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in toluene with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, causes the deoxygenation


Figure 1.
of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, affording the zirconyl complex VII (eq 9). VII is ob-

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\text { VI }}{3 \mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}}+3 \mathrm{CO}_{2} \rightarrow \underset{\text { VII }}{\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrO}\right)_{3}}+9 \mathrm{CO} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

tained as white crystals from toluene, which is present as crystallization solvent, and is readily lost in vacuo. VII comes along with a small a mount of a light-yellow product, which is suspected to be the polymeric form of the biscyclopentadienylzirconyl derivative. The nature of VII is hard to define from chemical and spectroscopic evidence. The mass spectrum is generally uninformative as concerns the molecular complexity of VII, as was found for $\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrCl}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O},{ }^{34}$ while the IR spectrum exhibits an intense, broad absorption band between 760 and $790 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which is assignable to metal-oxygen stretching frequencies and is diagnostic for group 4A organometallic compounds containing a $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{M}$ linkage. However, this band could be attributed either to the zirconyl unit or to the asymmetric mode of the $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Zr}$ unit. ${ }^{34-36}$ The X -ray analysis, carried out on its solvated form $\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrO}\right)_{3}$. $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$, definitely clarified the nature of VII (vida infra). The high tendency to form a $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O}$ bond and, specially, a $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Zr}$ unit dominates the biscyclopentadienyl chemistry. In this context, it would be interesting to recall the unusual formation of formaldehyde and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrCl}\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}$ when $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{Cl}$ is reacted with $\mathrm{CO}_{2},{ }^{37}$ while all the other transition metal hydrides afford, in the same reaction, the corresponding formiato derivatives. ${ }^{1,38}$

At this point, hypotheses on the origin of $\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrO}\right)_{3}$ cannot find a wide justification, because of the paucity of the zirconium(II) chemistry. ${ }^{39}$ This notwithstanding, it would be reasonable to assume as a key step of reaction 9 the addition of the carbene-like $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Zr}$ unit to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, generating a $1: 1$ adduct (eq 10). The evolution of D as depicted, rather than the addition of a further $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecule, is one of the most expected possibilities based on the high oxygen affinity of zirconium. The

Table 1V. Bond Dislances $(\AA)$ and Angles (deg), with Estimated Standard Deviations, for $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)\right]_{2}{ }^{a}$

| $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2.128(3)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.183(2)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.353(8)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $2.355(7)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{Cp}(1)$ | $2.051(5)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{Cp}(3)$ | $2.094(15)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $2.352(6)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $2.366(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{Cp}(2)$ | $2.061(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.268(4)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $2.365(5)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $2.396(5)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.301(6)$ | av | $2.359(3)$ | av | $2.380(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $2.406(10)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)^{*}$ | $2.388(17)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.362(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.406(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $2.401(9)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{C}(12)^{*}$ | $2.361(20)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.360(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.374(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $2.411(10)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)^{*}$ | $2.423(19)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.397(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.382(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $2.435(11)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{C}(14)^{*}$ | $2.460(21)$ | av | $1.365(6)$ | av | $1.390(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $2.401(8)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)^{*}$ | $2.399(15)$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $2.442(9)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)^{*}$ | $2.356(23)$ |  |  |  |  |
| av | $2.415(4)$ | av | $2.397(8)$ |  |  |  |  |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ average distances in the disordered rings around $\mathrm{Ti}(2)$ are $1.43(1), 1.42(1), 1.42(1), 1.43(1), 1.44(1), 1.40(1)$ : mean value 1.42. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $61.3(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | $69.1(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $121.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{Cp}(1)$ | $108.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{Cp}(3)$ | $110.1(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $117.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{Cp}(2)$ | $108.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{Cp}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $109.6(4)$ | $\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $90.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(1)-\mathrm{Ti}(1)-\mathrm{Cp}(2)$ | $136.1(3)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(3)-\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{Cp}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $131.3(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $107.0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $124.5(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $108.7(7)$ | C |  |
| $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Ti}\left(2^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | $11.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $107.3(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $109.0(6)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $108.1(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $108.1(6)$ |  |
|  |  | av | $108.0(3)$ | av | $108.0(3)$ |

${ }^{a^{\prime}=}=\bar{x}, y, z_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}=\bar{x} . \bar{y}, \bar{z} ;^{\prime \prime \prime}=x, \bar{y}, \bar{z}$. All the average values have been calculated using the formulas $\mu_{\mathrm{av}}=\Sigma_{i}\left(\mu_{i} / \sigma_{i}{ }^{2}\right) / \Sigma_{i} 1 / \sigma_{i}{ }^{2}, \sigma_{\mathrm{av}}=$ $\sqrt{1 / \Sigma_{i}\left(1 / \sigma_{i}{ }^{2}\right)}$, where $\mu_{i}$ are the individual observations and $\sigma_{i}$ are the standard deviations for them.


Figure 2. A view of the molecular structure of $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)\right]_{2}$, showing the atomic numbering scheme.

zirconyl unit, $\mathrm{Zr}=\mathrm{O}$, never identified, ${ }^{40}$ could be the reasonable precursor both of the trimer VII and of a polymeric form.

Solid-State Structure of $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)\right]_{2}$. The molecular structure of $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)\right]_{2}$ and the atom numbering scheme are shown in Figure 2, while bond distances and angles are given in Table IV.

The structure consists of tetrameric units having crystallographic $C_{2 h}$ symmetry. The crystallographic mirror plane is orthogonal to the twofold axis passing through $\mathrm{Ti}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Ti}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ (see Figure 2). Excluding the Cp rings and $\mathrm{Ti}(1)$, all the atoms
of the tetramer lie in a plane from which $\mathrm{Ti}(1)$ is displaced by $0.09 \AA$. The Cp rings are bonded to the titanium atoms in a bent arrangement through a pentahapto coordination mode and those around $\mathrm{Ti}(1)$ have staggered conformations. The chemically interesting structural features concern the backbone of the tetranuclear complex. The four $\mathrm{Cp}_{2}$ Ti units are bridged by two $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ ligands. Thus there are two different kinds of titanium(III) in the complex. The $\mathrm{Ti}(2)-\mathrm{Ti}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ distance $[3.597$ (2) $\AA$ ] rules out a possible metal-metal interaction, excluded on the basis of the magnetic measurement $\mu_{\text {eff }}$ $=1.70 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ per titanium at 293 K , which shows, moreover. no antiferromagnetic interaction between titanium atoms. No other carbonato compounds similar to that found here have previously been structurally characterized. A more useful comparison can be done, as concerns the $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ unit, with other compounds containing a tridentate bridging carbonato group. ${ }^{26.30 .41}$ Here, as in the other structures, the carbonato moiety is essentially planar. The four-membered ring formation around $\mathrm{Ti}(1)$ causes the carbonato $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond angle to decrease from $120^{\circ}$ to $117.7(5)^{\circ}$, while the $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ angle of $61.3(1)^{\circ}$ is similar to those found for the same fourmembered ring in other carbonato complexes. ${ }^{30}$ As concerns

Table V. Bond Distances ( $\AA$ ) and Bond Angles (deg), with Estimated Standard Deviations, for $\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrO}\right){ }_{3} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}$

| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.961 (6) | $\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.957 (6) | $\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 1.950 (6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 1.960 (5) | $\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 1.966 (5) | $\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 1.955 (5) |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cp}(1)$ | 2.28 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{Cp}(3)$ | 2.28 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{Cp}(5)$ | 2.29 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cp}(2)$ | 2.28 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{Cp}(4)$ | 2.26 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{Cp}(6)$ | 2.28 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 2.56 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 2.55 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 2.56 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 2.56 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 2.57 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 2.56 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 2.55 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 2.57 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 2.55 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 2.55 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 2.54 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 2.55 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 2.56 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 2.54 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 2.57 (1) |
| av | 2.556 (5) | av | 2.554 (5) | av | 2.558 (5) |
| Zr(1)-C(6) | 2.55 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 2.55 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 2.55 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 2.55 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 2.57 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 2.55 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 2.56 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 2.52 (2) | $\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 2.57 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 2.56 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 2.48 (2) | $\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 2.54 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 2.56 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(2)$ - C (20) | 2.52 (1) | $\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 2.53 (1) |
| av | 2.556 (5) | av | 2.540 (5) | av | 2.548 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.36 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.35 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 1.36 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.36 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.35 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 1.33 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.36 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 1.36 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 1.37 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.35 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.36 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 1.36 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.38 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.39 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(24)$-C(25) | 1.33 (2) |
| av | 1.36 (1) | av | 1.36 (1) | av | 1.35 (1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.35 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.30 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 1.32 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.34 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 1.33 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 1.35 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 1.36 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 1.31 (2) | C (27)-C(28) | 1.34 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 1.35 (2) | C(18)-C(19) | 1.36 (3) | C (28)-C(29) | 1.36 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.35 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 1.33 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 1.34 (3) |
| av | 1.35 (1) | av | 1.32 (1) | av | 1.34 (1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 97.4 (2) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 97.7 (2) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 97.3 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cp}(1)$ | 106.9 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{Cp}(3)$ | 109.2 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{Cp}(5)$ | 108.3 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cp}(2)$ | 108.7 (4) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{Cp}(4)$ | 107.2 (5) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{Cp}(6)$ | 108.2 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cp}(1)$ | 108.6 (4) | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{Cp}(3)$ | 106.7 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{Cp}(5)$ | 107.3 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cp}(2)$ | 108.0 (4) | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{Cp}(4)$ | 109.5 (4) | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{Cp}(6)$ | 108.6 (4) |
| $\mathrm{Cp}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{Cp}(2)$ | 124.0 (5) | $\mathrm{Cp}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{Cp}(4)$ | 123.6 (5) | $\mathrm{Cp}(5)-\mathrm{Z}(3)-\mathrm{Cp}(6)$ | 123.9 (5) |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(2)$ | 142.2 (3) | $\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Zr}(3)$ | 143.0 (3) | $\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(3)$ | 142.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 30.9 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 30.5 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 30.8 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 30.8 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 30.7 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 30.0 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 30.8 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 30.8 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 31.2 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 30.7 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 30.8 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 31.0 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 31.2 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 31.7 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 30.0 (5) |
| av | 30.9 (2) | av | 30.9 (2) | av | 30.6 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 30.8 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 29.6 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 30.1 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 30.4 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 30.4 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 30.8 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 30.9 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 29.9 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 30.4 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 30.6 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 31.6 (6) | $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 30.8 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{Zr}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 30.6 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{Zr}(2)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 30.8 (6) | $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{Zr}(3)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 30.6 (6) |
| av | 30.7 (2) | av | 30.4 (2) | av | 30.6 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 107.7 (11) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 108.3 (10) | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 108.2 (13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 107.8 (12) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 108.9 (11) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 107.5 (11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 109.2 (12) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 107.7 (10) | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 106.2 (11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 107.2 (12) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 107.2 (11) | $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 109.1 (12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 108.1 (11) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 107.9 (12) | $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 109.0 (12) |
| av | 108.0 (5) | av | 108.0 (5) | av | 107.9 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 108.3 (12) | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 107.9 (13) | $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 107.9 (14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 107.9 (12) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 109.7 (14) | $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 109.6 (12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 107.3 (10) | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 107.1 (15) | $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 106.3 (10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 108.5 (11) | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 106.7 (14) | $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 108.5 (12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 108.1 (12) | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 108.5 (14) | C (29)-C(30)-C(26) | 107.7 (14) |
| av | 108.0 (5) | av | 108.0 (6) | av | 107.9 (5) |

the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distances, the comparison can be restricted to the complexes containing a tridentate bridging carbonato group. In two of these, ${ }^{41}$ the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths related to the four-membered ring formation are significantly longer than the third one $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 1.307\right.$ (3) and 1.299 (3) vs. 1.245 (3) $\AA ;\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{Sb}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 1.308$ (13) and 1.293 (13) vs. 1.275 (13) $\AA$ ] while in complex $V$ the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distance for the oxygen chelating titanium is significantly shorter [1.268 (4) $\AA$ ] than the third $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length [1.301 (6) $\AA$ ]. As shown in Table IV, all angles involving the centroids of the cyclopentadienyl rings, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Cp})$ and the $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Cp})$ bond
lengths, are close to the values given for related compounds. ${ }^{42}$

Solid-State Structure of $\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrO}\right)_{3} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathbf{H}_{8}$. The molecular in Figure 3. Zirconium and oxygen atoms give rise to a nearly planar six-membered ring, the displacement from the mean plane through the six atoms being $\mathrm{Zr}(1), 0.004 ; \mathrm{Zr}(2), 0.000$; $\mathrm{Zr}(3), 0.000 ; \mathrm{O}(1), 0.015 ; \mathrm{O}(2), 0.018 ; \mathrm{O}(3),-0.030 \AA$. The $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths range from 1.950 (6) to 1.966 (5) $\AA$ and average 1.959 (3) $\AA$, while the three $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Zr}$ angles are very close to the mean value of 142.5 (2) ${ }^{\circ} . \mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distances agree well with values given for the dinuclear $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrCl}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{43}\right.$


Figure 3. A view of the molecular structure of $\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrO}\right)_{3}$. showing the atomic numbering scheme.
[ $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O}, 1.94$ (1) and 1.95 (1) $\AA$ ], while $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Zr}$ angle values are highly affected by the ring formation. The rather short $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distance and the ring planarity are on behalf of the existence of a rather significant metal-oxygen double bond contribution. Tilting cyclopentadienyl rings, which are planar within the experimental errors, are $\eta^{5}$ bonded to zirconium atoms. They exhibit an eclipsed conformation around $\mathrm{Zr}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Zr}(2)$, while those around $\mathrm{Zr}(3)$ are midway (neither eclipsed nor staggered). The planes containing the normals to the Cp rings at the metal atoms are nearly perpendicular to the molecular plane, the dihedral angles between the planes being 89.1, 87.4, and $88.5^{\circ}$ around $\mathrm{Zr}(1), \mathrm{Zr}(2)$, and $\mathrm{Zr}(3)$, respectively.

As is shown in Table V , the $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{C}$ distances and $\mathrm{Cp}-\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{Cp}$ angles $\left[\mathrm{Zr}(1), 124.0\right.$ (5); $\mathrm{Zr}(2), 123.6$ (5); $\mathrm{Zr}(3), 123.9$ (5) $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right]$ fall in the range given for related $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Zr}$ derivatives. ${ }^{44}$ The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Cp})$ bond distances range from l .31 (2) to 1.39 (2) $\AA$. Even if a value of $1.43 \AA$ is expected for a thermally rigid system, these values are common for compounds in which a large degree of vibrational motion is found. It may be interesting to note that this structure is highly significant as concerns the open question on the existence of the zirconyl unit. ${ }^{40}$ The simultaneous presence of the $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O}$ band at $760-790 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and the trimeric nature of VII rule out the possibility of using the IR spectrum to infer the presence of the monomeric zirconyl unit $\mathrm{Zr}=\mathrm{O}$. Its "inexistence" finds its counterpart in the "instability" of the monomeric acetaldehyde and formaldehyde, which give very similar cyclic trimers, the paraldehyde and the trioxane, respectively. Another helpful comparison that can be made in this context is with the hypothetical $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{Si}=\mathrm{O}$ unit, ${ }^{45}$ whose hydration, cyclization, and polymerization are parallel to those found for $\mathrm{Zr}=\mathrm{O}$. X-ray structural data for cyclopentadienyl compounds containing $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{M}$ units are relatively scarce, even if it is a growing area in this field. The previous reports concern the structures of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}, 46$ $\left[\mathrm{CpTiCl}_{2}\right]_{2} \mathrm{O},{ }^{47}[\mathrm{CpTiOCl}]_{4},{ }^{48}\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{6} \mathrm{Ti}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8}\right],{ }^{49}\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrCl}_{2} \mathrm{O},{ }^{43}\right.$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{HfCH}_{3}\right]_{2} \mathrm{O},{ }^{50}$ while complexes containing a $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ six-membered ring could not be found. Generally, these complexes exhibit M-O bond distances shorter than those observed for typical oxygen-donor ligands, and this effect is usually attributed to sp or $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridization of the bridging oxygen atom and $\left(\mathrm{d}_{\pi}-\mathrm{p}_{\pi}\right)$ interaction with the metal. Ring formation imposes $\mathrm{Zr}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Zr}$ angles in VII far from linearity, $142.5(2)^{\circ}$, and this is reflected in the smaller M-O multiple bond contribution.

## Conclusions

Carbon dioxide undergoes a deoxygenation to carbon monoxide both with $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}\right]_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ to give $\mu$-oxo complexes $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrO}\right]_{3}$, respectively, $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrO}\right]_{3}$, which is formally a trimer of the monomeric zir-
conyl complex, $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Zr}=\mathrm{O}$, contains a nearly planar sixmembered ring $\left[\mathrm{Zr}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right]$. The green compound produced by the reaction of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ thus has been characterized as a bridging carbonate complex, $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)\right]_{2}\right.$, on the basis of vibrational spectra, chemical evidences, and X-ray analysis. The use of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ allowed us to identify, without doubt, the route to the titanium-carbonate complex, as being the disproportionation of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to CO and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$. Parallel studies, carried out employing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ equivalent molecules, allow us to suggest some pathways for these $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ metal-promoted transformations, deoxygenation, and disproportionation.
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#### Abstract

The ESR and ENDOR spectra of cyclopropyl-substituted galvinoxyls exhibit temperature-dependent line positions and line widths. This behavior could be interpreted by assuming two different types of dynamic processes to be present, giving rise to temperature-dependent $\beta$-proton hyperfine couplings and to line-shape effects, respectively. The latter process could be described by a jump model for the hindered rotation of the aroxy rings within the galvinoxyl moiety. Barriers to internal rotation and activation parameters of the jump processes have been evaluated. The measured hyperfine coupling constants were assigned to molecular positions; the signs of the couplings could be determined by means of the electron-nuclear-nuclear TRIPLE resonance technique. Possible mechanisms of the dynamic processes and the equilibrium geometries of the radicals are briefly discussed.


## Introduction

The magnetic resonance methods ESR and ENDOR have proved to be of unique value in the elucidation of the structure of organic free radicals. Besides the determination of electron spin distributions and equilibrium conformations, e.g., twist angles, they permit an insight into the internal dynamics of molecules. ${ }^{1}$ Such dynamic processes can affect the widths of spectral lines provided the characteristic time of the interconversions is comparable to the ESR/ENDOR time scale ( $10^{-9}-10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}$ ). ${ }^{2}$ Quite different mechanisms can be responsible for the intramolecular dynamic behavior: hindered rotation, ${ }^{3}$ ring inversion, ${ }^{4}$ pyramidal atomic inversion, ${ }^{5}$ intramolecular electron transfer, ${ }^{6}$ ion pairing, ${ }^{7}$ or torsional oscillations. ${ }^{8}$ There are two distinct effects with respect to the temperature-dependent appearance of the spectra. Firstly, $\beta$-proton hyperfine coupling constants often show a marked temperature dependence due to torsional oscillations of sterically hindered alkyl groups. ${ }^{9}$ Secondly, line shapes can be temperature dependent because the molecule is involved in a rate process modulating isotropic hyperfine couplings, the rates being temperature dependent. ${ }^{10}$ Furthermore, intermolecular exchange effects, i.e., chemical and Heisenberg exchange, may be a cause of line broadening.

In the present paper we report on the syntheses and ESR/ ENDOR studies of cyclopropyl and cycloprop[a]acenaphthylenyl galvinoxyls. Since we are currently interested in studying the internal dynamics in substituted galvinoxyl radicals, ${ }^{11.12}$ we found it worthwhile to investigate in detail the different types of dynamic processes conceivable in cyclopropyl galvinoxyl, e.g., hindered rotation of the cyclopropyl group and the phenoxyl groups. Another aspect was to gather further
information on the spin-transfer mechanism, i.e., spin polarization or hyperconjugation, into the cyclopropyl substituent. For that purpose, the possibility of relative sign determination of the hyperfine couplings by means of the electron-nuclearnuclear TRIPLE resonance technique ${ }^{13}$ appeared to be of special value. In order to increase the bulkiness of the substituent, we have extended our investigation to cycloprop $[a]$ acenaphthylenyl galvinoxyl.

## Experimental Section

Preparation of Compounds. The galvinoxyl precursors, i.e.. the galvinols, were prepared from cyclopropanecarboxylic acid (to give 1b). from 6b.7a-dihydro-7 H -cycloprop [a]acenaphthylene-7-carboxylic acid (to give 2b), and from the respective deuterated carboxylic acid ${ }^{14}$ (to give 3b) by using our organometallic synthetic pathway described elsewhere. ${ }^{15}$ Corresponding to this procedure, the esterified carboxylic acids 1a-3a were treated with ( 2.6 -di-tert-butyl-4-lithium phenoxy)trimethylsilane, followed by elimination of the protecting irimethylsilyl group. The NMR spectra of all compounds are consistent with the structures given in Figure 1. In particular. the possibility of a rearrangement could be ruled out (protons of the threemembered ring, 1b: $\delta_{\mathrm{A}} 0.61 \mathrm{ppm}, \delta_{\mathrm{B}} 1.01 \mathrm{ppm}, \delta_{\mathrm{X}} 2.33 \mathrm{ppm}, J_{\mathrm{ci}}=8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, J_{\text {trans }}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz} ; \mathbf{2 b}: \mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{X}$ spin system, $\delta_{\mathrm{A}} 3.15 \mathrm{ppm}, \delta_{\mathrm{X}} 2.32 \mathrm{ppm}$. $J_{\wedge x}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). The results for 2b prove the exo configuration of the galvinol (trans position of the protons) in accordance with previous NMR studies of the carboxylic acid $\mathbf{2 a}$ (methyl ester). ${ }^{16}$ Moreover. the galvinoxyls $\mathbf{1 c}-3 \mathbf{c}$ yielded the parent galvinols on reduction. ${ }^{15}$ The elemental analyses differ slightly from the usual criterion of $\leqslant 0.3 \%$ deviation, since removal of residual solvent molecules calls for elevated temperatures causing decomposition of the galvinols.
[(3,5-Di-tert-butyl-4-hydrox yphenyl)(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-oxocy-clohexa-2,5-dienylidene)methyl]cyclopropane (1b). Cyclopropanecarboxylic acid ethyl ester ( 0.64 g ) was treated with a mixture of 5.0

